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Abstract

Background: The wMel strain of Wolbachia has been successfully introduced into Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and subsequently shown to reduce transmission of dengue and other pathogens, under both laboratory and field conditions. Here we describe the entomological outcomes of wMel Wolbachia mosquito releases in two small communities in Nha Trang City in central Vietnam.

Methods: The wMel strain of Wolbachia was backcrossed into local Aedes aegypti genotype and mosquito releases were undertaken by community members or by staff. Field monitoring was undertaken to track Wolbachia establishment in local Ae. aegypti mosquito populations. Ecological studies were undertaken to assess relationships between environmental factors and the spatial and temporal variability in Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes.

Results: Releases of wMel Wolbachia Ae. aegypti mosquitoes in two small communities in Nha Trang City resulted in the initial establishment of Wolbachia in the local Ae. aegypti mosquito populations, followed by seasonal fluctuations in Wolbachia prevalence. There was significant small-scale spatial heterogeneity in
Wolbachia infection prevalence in the Tri Nguyen Village site, resulting in the loss of wMel Wolbachia infection in mosquitoes in north and center areas, despite Wolbachia prevalence remaining high in mosquitoes in the south area. In the second site, Vinh Luong Ward, Wolbachia has persisted at a high level in mosquitoes throughout this site despite similar seasonal fluctuations in wMel Wolbachia prevalence.

**Conclusion:** Seasonal variation in Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes was associated with elevated temperature conditions, and was possibly due to imperfect maternal transmission of Wolbachia. Heterogeneity in Wolbachia infection prevalence was found throughout one site, and indicates additional factors may influence Wolbachia establishment.
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Introduction

*Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes containing the wMel Wolbachia strain have been shown to have a reduced ability to transmit a range of viruses including dengue, Zika, chikungunya, yellow fever and Mayaro viruses (Ryan et al., 2020). Field trials involving releases of wMel Wolbachia infected *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes have shown that Wolbachia can be deployed and established in local mosquito populations (Garcia et al., 2019; Gesto et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Hoffmann et al., 2014; Indriani et al., 2020; O’Neill et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2017; Tantowijoyo et al., 2020; Utarini et al., 2021). The wMel Wolbachia infection has been shown to persist in local mosquito populations (Gesto et al., 2021; O’Neill et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2020; Tantowijoyo et al., 2020; Utarini et al., 2021), and the viral blocking properties remain stable (Carrington et al., 2018; Frentia et al., 2014; Gesto et al., 2021). In areas where wMel Wolbachia has been established in local mosquito populations, dengue incidence has been significantly reduced, resulting in near elimination of local dengue transmission in northern Australia (O’Neill et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2020); 73% reduction in dengue incidence in a quasi-experimental trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia (Indriani et al., 2020); 77.1% reduction in dengue incidence in a cluster randomized trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia (Utarini et al., 2021); and 69% reduction in dengue incidence, 56% reduction in chikungunya incidence, and 37% reduction in Zika incidence, in Niterói, Brazil (Pinto et al., 2021).

Here we describe the entomological outcomes of wMel Wolbachia mosquito releases in two small communities in Nha Trang City in central Vietnam. These releases resulted in the initial establishment of wMel Wolbachia in the local *Ae. aegypti* mosquito populations, followed by seasonal fluctuations in Wolbachia infection prevalence in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. In the Tri Nguyen Village site we observed significant small-scale spatial heterogeneity in Wolbachia infection prevalence, with localized losses of infection in north and central areas but high prevalence in the south area. Despite similar overall climatic conditions and seasonal fluctuations in wMel Wolbachia prevalence in the second site, Vinh Luong Ward, Wolbachia has persisted at a high level in mosquitoes throughout this site. We investigate the environmental factors that may be associated with the observed fluctuations in Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes in these sites.

Methods

Intervention area

**Tri Nguyen village.** Releases occurred in Tri Nguyen village, a fishing community located on Hon Mieu Island, Nha Trang City, in central Vietnam. The island is located 1 km from Nha Trang City, and is approximately 1.2 km² (117 ha) in size. The densely populated area on Tri Nguyen village is approximately 0.22 km² (22 ha) in size and is comprised of 821 households (population 3,527), located in a rough north-south pattern on the western side of the island (Figure 1, Figure 2).

**Vinh Luong Ward.** Vinh Luong Ward is a fishing community located approximately 10 km north of the Nha Trang City center. The release area of 1.0 km² was comprised of eight hamlets in the central residential area with a population of 12,143 in 2,846 households (Figure 1, Figure 3).

Rearing

For the Tri Nguyen releases in 2014, a local wMel *Ae. aegypti* line was created by mating infected virgin females from a Cairns, Australia wMel-infected *Ae. aegypti* line (described in Walker et al., 2011) to uninfected males from Tri Nguyen for six generations (Table 1). The uninfected wild-type mosquitoes were collected as larvae or pupae from water holding containers, or as eggs from ovitraps (Ritchie, 2001), from households in Tri Nguyen village. To minimize laboratory adaptation after backcrossing, male *Ae. aegypti* from field collected *Ae. aegypti* (F1 eggs) obtained from Tri Nguyen village as described above, were introduced into the colony each generation, so that they constituted 10% of the new male population. Two colonies (release stock and back-up) were maintained in two insectaries located at the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology (NIHE), Hanoi, Vietnam. Both colonies had 30 cages (30 x 30 x 30 cm), stocked at a density of around 400 females, and were bloodfed on human volunteers weekly. Volunteer blood feeders were sourced from institutional (NIHE) staff or their colleagues and were excluded if their temperature was 38°C or above, if they had been taking antibiotics in the last five days or if they had been experiencing a febrile illness. Volunteers provided an exposed arm or leg to a cage of mosquitoes for 10 minutes (maximum of three cages per volunteer), with each cage of mosquitoes exposed only to a single volunteer. Eggs were collected from containers lined with filter paper, with each cage producing approximately 6,000 eggs. Egg strips were removed from cages and placed onto adsorbent paper towel and stored in sealed plastic bags for three days at 27°C, after which time they were removed from the plastic bags and paper towel and were then dried under insectary conditions (27°C, 80% relative humidity) for 90–120 minutes. Dried egg strips were then packed between sheets of filter paper and transferred to sealed plastic bags each containing a 2 x 3 cm piece of moistened filter paper to maintain moisture and prevent desiccation of the eggs. The sealed plastic bags containing the egg strips were placed into insulated containers and were shipped under ambient temperature conditions to Institute Pasteur Nha Trang (IPNT) via courier.

For quality assurance of the mosquito colonies, a total of 10 adult mosquitoes were randomly sampled from cages at four to five days after blood-feeding, and were screened for DENV and CHIK by qRT-PCT (Quyen et al., 2018). Primer and probe sequences are as follows: pan-DENV F: AAGGACTAGAG-GTCTGATTCCCTGGAATGATG, with probe 5’-Lc640 (or Cy5)- AACAGGATTTGTCCGCTGGAGAGACCAAGA-3', R: 5’-CCAACATTGTCCCCGCTCTTCC-3' and CHIKV F: 5’-AAGCTYCGCTGCTTCCCTTACCAAG3’, R: 5’-CCCAAAATTGTCCGCTCCTCTCCT-3' with probe 5’-HEX-CCAATGTCTCGGCTGACACC-C3'. RNA underwent one freeze-thaw cycle with qRT-PCR reaction performed using the Lightcycler Multiplex RNA Virus Master kit (Roche) with the following conditions; 50 °C for 10 mins, 95 °C for 30 sec,
followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 3 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 1 sec and 1 cycle of 40 °C for 1 sec.

For Wolbachia screening, a random sample of larvae and adult females were tested for wMel infection by Taqman qPCR each week (Dar et al., 2008; O’Neill et al., 2019; Yeap et al., 2014), with a minimum acceptable Wolbachia prevalence of 97%. qPCR was undertaken using the Lightcycler 480 Probes Master (Roche) kit with cycling conditions; x1 95°C for 5 minutes, x45 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 15 seconds, 72°C for 1 second with single acquisition and x1 40°C for 10 seconds. Wolbachia was detected using WSP primers (F: 5’-CATTTGGTGTGTTGGTGTTGGTG-3’, R: 5’-ACACCAGCTTTTACTTGACCAG-3’ with probe: 5’-LC640-TCCTTTGGACCCGCTGTGAATGA-IowaBlack-3’) and Ae. aegypti rps17 reference detected with primers F: 5’-TCCGTGGTATCTCCAAGCT-3’, R: 5’-CACTTCCGACGTAGTGTGC-3’ and probe 5’FAM- CAGGAGGAAACGTGAGCGCAG-BHQ1-3’). All qPCR and

Figure 1. Map of Tri Nguyen village and Vinh Luong release areas in Nha Trang City, Vietnam.
qRT-PCR testing were undertaken using the LightCycler 480 Instrument II - Roche Life Science.

The *Wolbachia* mosquito line was characterized in terms of key fitness traits including adult female fecundity, egg hatch rate, and *Wolbachia* maternal transmission efficiency using previously described methods (Walker et al., 2011; Yeap et al., 2011) (Table 1). Fecundity was assessed using multiple human blood feeders with a total of 50 bloodfed female mosquitoes. Females were transferred into individual 40mL tubes containing water and filter paper, for oviposition. Females were allocated seven days in oviposition tubes until they were examined for the presence or absence of eggs, at which time the eggs were counted to determine fecundity. Hatch rates of eggs were determined by transferring paper and water from oviposition tubes into trays containing 250mL of water and a small amount of larval diet as described below. Eggs were left for 48 hours to hatch before the larvae in each tray were counted. As some eggs may not have matured during the first hatch, egg papers were dried down and stored for three days before immersing a second time. The numbers of larvae from the first and second hatch were combined to determine the hatch rate of eggs. For maternal transmission assessments, *Wolbachia* infected virgin females were mated with wild-type F0 or F1 males over a 24 h period. After 24 h a human blood meal was provided and individual females that appeared fully engorged were placed into individual oviposition cups. Each cup was lined with a moistened piece of filter paper as a medium for oviposition. After 24 hrs following oviposition, the female mosquitoes were collected and stored in 70% ethanol, and the eggs were counted and then conditioned for three days, prior to hatching in water containing a small amount of larval diet as described below. After 24 hours the number of hatched larvae were recorded. Larvae were reared until they reached II-IV instar.

![Figure 2. Tri Nguyen village.](image)
then transferred to 70% ethanol. Adult females and progeny (n=10–20) were processed for Wolbachia infection using a Taqman qPCR assay as described above.

Egg viability was also monitored to determine the effectiveness of egg storage and incubation methods as well as any effects of transport between the release stock colony at NIHE (Hanoi) and the rearing facility at IPNT in Nha Trang. For each egg shipment, one egg strip was randomly selected and a sample of 100 eggs were removed. Eggs were assessed visually as intact, collapsed or hatched, and were counted. Eggs were then transferred to a hatching solution containing a small amount of larval diet as described below. After 24 hours the numbers of hatched larvae were counted and the hatch rate was calculated against the number of intact eggs above.

Eggs shipped from NIHE were hatched and reared in the IPNT insectary, where temperatures ranged between 26–31°C. For the Tri Nguyen releases, larvae (400/bucket) were reared in 4 L buckets and fed a diet of ground Tetramin Tropical Tablets (Tetra Holding [US] Inc. Germany, Product number 16110). When approximately 90% of larvae had pupated, 30 larvae/pupae were transferred into individual plastic cups (6 cm diameter x 10 cm high). A mesh cover was placed on each cup and adults were maintained for 3–4 days on 20% sucrose solution. Release cups were transferred to crates for transport to Tri Nguyen village via vehicle and boat. Release cups were maintained under ambient temperature conditions for 1.5 hours during transfer from the IPNT insectary to Tri Nguyen village.

For the Vinh Luong releases in 2018, a separate wMel Ae. aegypti line was created in the NIHE insectary as above, with the exception that infected females were mated to uninfected males (F1) collected from two locations in Nha Trang City (Table 1). After backcrossing (six generations) the colony was then maintained with the addition of Nha Trang City wild type (F1) males at a ratio of 10–20% per generation. Eggs were transferred via courier to the rearing facility at IPNT, where they were hatched and reared in trays (61 x 42 x 15 cm) containing 12 liters of tap water and were fed JBL NovoTab pellets (JBL, Neuhofen, Germany, Product number 302300). Larvae/pupae (100–120) were placed into individual plastic cups (850 mL) containing 250 mL of tap water. When approximately 90% of larvae had pupated, a mesh cover was placed on each cup and adults were maintained for 3–4 days on 20% sucrose solution. Release cups were transferred to crates for transport to the

Figure 3. Vinh Luong Ward.
release site. Release cups were maintained under ambient temperature conditions for up to one hour during transfer from the IPNT insectary to Vinh Luong Ward.

Prior to releases in Vinh Luong, the Wolbachia mosquito line was characterized in terms of key fitness traits including adult female fecundity, egg hatch rate and Wolbachia maternal transmission efficiency as described above. Insecticide susceptibility was also assessed using previously described methods (WHO, 2013). Insecticide type and concentrations (Table 1) were in line with recommendations for Ae. aegypti mosquitoes and followed the WHO standard bioassay method (WHO, 2013).

### Table 1. \(w{\text{Mel}}\) Wolbachia Aedes aegypti release lines for Tri Nguyen and Vinh Luong sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release line</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tri Nguyen</td>
<td>Backcrossing source</td>
<td>Tri Nguyen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backcrossing method</td>
<td>Six generations of backcrossing, followed by introduction of 10% wild type males (F1) per generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Wolbachia) infection rate</td>
<td>qPCR screening of subsample of larvae from each generation of colony material (minimum (Wolbachia) prevalence of &gt; 97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg hatch rate (Pre-release)</td>
<td>Sample of colony eggs from (n = 6) cages, hatch rate assessed for each cage Mean hatch rate 96.4% +/- 3.7% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fecundity (Release)</td>
<td>Eggs from (n = 50) females, fecundity and hatch rate assessed for each female 52.2 +/- 12.4% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hatch rate (Release)</td>
<td>72.2% +/- 12.5% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal transmission (Release)</td>
<td>(n = 50) females Mean infection rate in progeny 100.0% +/- 0.0% (sd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinh Luong</td>
<td>Backcrossing source</td>
<td>Nha Trang City urban area (F1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Backcrossing Method</td>
<td>Six generations of backcrossing, followed by introduction of 10-20% wild type males (F1) per generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Wolbachia) infection rate (Pre-release and release)</td>
<td>qPCR screening of 172 adult mosquitoes from each generation of colony material Average (Wolbachia) infection rate 100% +/- 0.0% (s.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fecundity (Pre-release)</td>
<td>(n = 50) females Mean 71.5 +/- 16.5 (sd) eggs per female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg hatch rate (Pre-Release)</td>
<td>Sample of eggs from (n = 50) females, hatch rate assessed for each female Mean 78.5% +/- 22.8% (sd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal transmission (Pre-release)</td>
<td>(n = 50) females Mean infection rate in progeny 100.0% +/- 0.0% (sd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticide resistance</td>
<td>Vinh Luong Release line (Pre-release) Nha Trang City urban (F1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>(\text{Mean Mortality (%) (sd)})</th>
<th>(\text{Mean Mortality (%) (sd)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malathion (0.8%)</td>
<td>11.0 (5.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion (5.0%)</td>
<td>100.0 (0)</td>
<td>99.0 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendiocarb (0.1%)</td>
<td>74.0 (6.5)</td>
<td>66.0 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendiocarb (0.5%)</td>
<td>100.0 (0)</td>
<td>100.0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permethrin (0.25%)</td>
<td>1.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.0 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permethrin (1.25%)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>17.0 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin (0.03%)</td>
<td>9.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>18.0 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltamethrin (0.15%)</td>
<td>67.0 (10.4)</td>
<td>76.0 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Insecticide impregnated papers were purchased from the WHO Collaborating Centre at the Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia. Each test included five replicate tubes for each insecticide and two negative control tubes, with 20 female *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes per tube. The mosquitoes were three to five days old, fed with sugar only. Mosquitoes were kept in a paper-free tube for one hour to adapt, transferred to the tube containing insecticide-impregnated paper for one hour, then transferred back to the holding tube, with access to sugar solution, for 24 hours. Dead and live mosquitoes were counted after 24 hours.

**Releases**

For Tri Nguyen releases, base maps showing the location of each household along with the 47 release zones that were used to coordinate field activities during the previous release of the wMelPop *Ae. aegypti* (Nguyen et al., 2015) were updated. Local community members were invited to join the project as project “collaborators”. Many of the collaborators had worked on the previous project that involved the release of wMelPop *Ae. aegypti*. These 47 collaborators were trained in mosquito release and monitoring activities and were then responsible for undertaking release and monitoring activities within their respective zones. During releases, cups of 3–4 day old adult mosquitoes (approx. 30 per cup) were transported by boat to the island each week. Each of the 47 project collaborators collected a box containing 9–30 release cups, and undertook releases in their respective neighborhood zones. Mosquitoes were released between 8:00 and 10:00 am, outside of each house that agreed to participate in the releases. Releases commenced on 14 May 2014 and were undertaken each week for 27 weeks.

For the Vinh Luong releases, release maps were created by overlaying a 50 x 50 m grid across the residential areas of the eight hamlets. During releases, cups containing 3–4 day old adult mosquitoes (approx. 100–120 per cup) were transported to the field via car, and then released by staff. One cup of mosquitoes was released inside each grid square each week (305 release grids). Mosquitoes were released between 07:30 and 10:30 hrs in shaded road-side locations. Releases commenced on 8 March 2018 and were undertaken each week, for 17 weeks.

**Community engagement**

For the Tri Nguyen releases, communication and engagement activities followed the methods described in McNaughton & Duong (2014). This included sharing of information with community leaders and representatives from all households, via community events and meetings (33 events and meetings), door-knocking and one-on-one meetings with householders who were not engaged through community event or meeting (85 households), open letters to every household (Ryan, 2021a), and community loudspeaker announcements (three announcements). A community reference group was established, with representation from six hamlet leaders to facilitate engagement with householders and identify any issues or concerns. The community collaborator system, utilized as part of the previous release involving wMelPop Wolbachia mosquitoes in 2013 (Nguyen et al., 2015), was also re-established. Each collaborator was responsible for 10–20 households and assisted with distributing newsletters, updating householders on progress and activities, and providing feedback to the community reference group. In addition, a school-based education campaign was undertaken with the local primary school, and involved a presentation to each class on dengue and Wolbachia and a drawing competition about the impact of dengue on the community. Local media were proactively engaged about the project activities, resulting in 20 media articles in local and national newspaper and television outlets.

Prior to releases residents were asked to provide written consent for the release of Wolbachia mosquitoes around their houses. Of the 715 registered households, 695 (97.2%) agreed to participate and gave consent for the release of mosquitoes outside their houses, 4 (0.6%) households did not agree for releases outside their houses, and 16 households did not complete the consent form.

For the Vinh Luong releases, communication and community engagement activities followed the Public Acceptance Model (PAM) as described in O’Neill et al. (2019). The community engagement activities were undertaken over a two-year period and involved the following:

1. Raising broad community and stakeholder awareness across Nha Trang City. Information was provided to residents and key stakeholders about Wolbachia, and mosquito releases and monitoring activities via various channels, including mass communication (the project’s website, community loudspeaker system, newspapers, TV, radio), school outreach programs, direct engagement with the local governments at different levels of administration, and community events using the existing community networks (heads and health collaborators of hamlets).

2. Quantitative surveys to assess community support in Vinh Luong. Three cross-sectional surveys were undertaken using a stratified random sampling method with the sample size of 370 participants (different participants for each of the surveys). Two pre-release surveys were undertaken prior to and after conducting communication and engagement activities. A follow-up post-release survey was undertaken three months after the start of mosquito releases. The initial pre-release survey prior to commencement of communication and engagement activities indicated high household support and willingness to participate in releases (66.2%), or support for releases but not direct participation in releases (25.1%). A small proportion of householders were undecided whether they supported mosquito releases (6.5%), and only eight (2.2%) households indicated they did not support releases. After completion of the communication and engagement activities, the pre-release survey indicated householder support and willingness to participate had increased (83.8%), and support for releases but not direct participation in releases was 13.2%. Only a small proportion of householders were undecided whether they supported...
mosquito releases (2.4%), and only two (0.5%) households indicated they did not support releases. Similar results were found in the post-release survey undertaken three months after commencement of releases (88.1% of households support and willing to participate; 7.0% of households support but not willing to participate; 4.1% undecided whether they support releases; three households [0.8%] indicated they did not support releases).

3. Establishment of an issues management system. The system enabled community members to easily contact the project with any questions and concerns and have them quickly addressed by project staff typically within 24 hours of receipt. The system also allowed residents to opt in or out of direct participation in release and monitoring activities.

4. Community reference group. A community reference group was established with representatives from government organizations and community unions in Nha Trang City. The reference group’s function was to independently review activities to ensure that engagement was carried out in accordance with our stated Public Participation Principles (O’Neill et al., 2019).

As a requirement for institutional review board (IRB) approval, informed consent for the release of Wolbachia mosquitoes was obtained from a subsample of households (n=370) in Vinh Luong. Participating households were the same as those that participated in the 2nd pre-release survey of community acceptance as described in 2) above. Participation of households was voluntary, with the head (or representative) of each household asked to provide individual consent to undertake Wolbachia mosquito releases in their community. Of the 370 households, 100% completed the consent form, with all households providing consent for the release of mosquitoes in their community. In addition, 10 community meetings were held with representatives from households from each hamlet (total of 828 households). Community meetings were held with representatives from households, with verbal approval for releases from 100% of participants. Final written approval for releases was provided by the local authority, after reviewing the results from community engagement, and feedback from the community reference group.

Field monitoring
Adult mosquito collections were undertaken during and after releases using BG Sentinel (BGS) traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany, Product number NR10030). The number and density of BGS traps in each area varied over time. In Tri Nguyen, initially 45–50 BGS traps were distributed throughout the release area (approximately two BGS traps per ha). After 41 months, this was reduced to 20 BGS traps (approximately one BGS trap per ha). In Vinh Luong, initially 42 BGS traps were distributed throughout the release area (approximately one BGS trap per 2.5 ha). After 15 months this was reduced to 15–20 BGS traps (approximately one BGS trap per 5 ha). Mosquitoes were collected from the BGS traps every 1–2 weeks and returned to the laboratory for sorting, morphological identification and counting. Aedes aegypti samples were stored in 70% ethanol prior to screening for Wolbachia infection status. After completion of releases, BGS trap sampling was undertaken every one to four weeks for 33 months in Tri Nguyen, and for 18 months in Vinh Luong, after which time BGS trap sampling was undertaken periodically at 6–12 months intervals. During 2020, BGS trap sampling was disrupted due to social distancing requirements in response to COVID-19, with sampling recommencing in Vinh Luong in November 2020, and in Tri Nguyen in April 2021.

Wolbachia maternal transmission assessments on field collected material from Tri Nguyen
Two separate collections were undertaken, the first in May 2015 involving surveys at 48 households, with collections from the four most common container types found in Tri Nguyen (Knox et al., 2007): concrete rainwater tanks (> 500 L), plastic 200 L drums, ceramic 100 L jars and vases (< 1 L) used for religious purposes (i.e. ancestral shrines in homes). The second survey was undertaken in May 2016 with collections from 200 L drums only. Samples of IV instars and pupae were collected from containers using a 200 mm diameter sampling net (100 µm zoological plankton mesh).

IV instars/pupae were returned to the laboratory and each IV instar/pupa was placed into an individual container and allowed to emerge. Males were discarded and up to 10 individual virgin females from each container were placed into a small cage. Into each cage 10 Wolbachia uninfected Nha Trang City colony (F1) males were added and allowed to mate with virgin females over a 24 h period. After 24 h a human blood meal was provided and individual females that appeared fully engorged were removed from the cage and placed into individual oviposition cups. Each cup was lined with a piece of filter paper as a medium for oviposition. After 24 hrs following oviposition, the female mosquitoes were collected and stored in 70% ethanol, and the eggs were counted and then conditioned for three days, prior to hatching in water containing a small amount of fish food (JBL NovoTab, Neuhofen, Germany, Product number 302300). After 24 hours the number of hatched larvae were recorded. Larvae were reared until they reached II-IV instar then transferred to 70% ethanol. Adult females and progeny (n=10–20) were processed for Wolbachia infection using a Taqman qPCR assay (Dar et al., 2008; O’Neill et al., 2019; Yeap et al., 2014).

Container surveys in Tri Nguyen
To determine whether there was any association between the abundance of different container types and the prevalence of Wolbachia across Tri Nguyen, a container survey was undertaken in November 2015. Houses were selected from a list of 715 registered households in Tri Nguyen village, with selection of every fifth house. A total of 143 houses were selected, representing 20% of registered houses across the north (n=62), center (n=47) and south (n=40) areas. Samples of late instars and pupae were collected from all water holding containers using a 200 mm diameter sampling net (100 µm zoological plankton mesh) (Knox et al., 2007). Field container types were categorized according to the following: concrete rainwater tanks.
To determine whether there was any association between abiotic water characteristics in different container types and the prevalence of *Wolbachia* across the three areas, an abiotic survey of water quality in containers was undertaken in June 2016. The survey was undertaken across a transect of houses from the north (24 houses), center (13 houses) and south (13 houses) areas. Houses were randomly selected across from a north-south transect, and samples of late instars and pupae were collected from different types of containers as above. A water sample from each container was collected and tested for pH, salinity and conductivity using a handheld water quality meter (PCSTestr 35, Eutech Instruments, Singapore).

Diagnostic screening of samples for *Wolbachia*

Colony, field collected mosquitoes from BGS traps, larval samples from field containers, and samples from maternal transmission were screened for *Wolbachia* using Taqman qPCR on a Roche LightCycler 480 using an internally controlled quantitative assay for the presence or absence of *Wolbachia* as previously described (Dar et al., 2008; O’Neill et al., 2019; Yeap et al., 2014). The qPCR cycling program consisted of a denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 45 cycles of PCR (denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec, annealing at 60°C for 15 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 sec with single acquisition) followed by a cooling down step at 40°C for 10 sec.

Weather data

Meteorological data including maximum, minimum and average daily temperature records for Nha Trang City (Station ID 48877099999) was obtained from the National Centers for Environmental Information, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Menne et al., 2012a; Menne et al., 2012b). Local temperature data was collected from inside houses in Tri Nguyen and Vinh Luong using temperature data loggers. Houses for hosting the temperature data loggers were selected from the list of BG sentinel houses based on their geographic coverage across the representative release areas. In Tri Nguyen, six data loggers (EasyLog EL-USB-2, Lascar Electronics, Kowloon, Hong Kong) were used to record hourly temperatures inside houses (two houses in each of north, center and south areas) between May 2014 and June 2017. From July 2017 to June 2019 the EasyLog EL-USB-2 data loggers above were replaced with 10 iButtons (iButton DS1923, Maxim Integrated, San Jose, CA USA). These were set to record hourly temperatures inside houses and were located in six houses in the north, one in the center, and three in the south. In Vinh Luong, 10 iButton (iButton DS1923, Maxim Integrated, San Jose, CA USA) temperature data loggers were used to record hourly temperatures in 10 houses from March 2018 to October 2019, and from November 2020 to April 2021.

Ethical considerations and consent

The release of *Wolbachia* mosquitoes at Tri Nguyen, along with human blood feeding of mosquitoes, was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology (Approval reference number: 32/HDD 15/12/2011) and then the IRB of Vietnam Ministry of Health (Approval reference number: 38/CN-BDGDD 04/04/2014). Volunteer bloodfeeders provided informed written consent (no children were involved). For releases, residents were asked to provide written consent for the release of *Wolbachia* mosquitoes around their houses. In Vinh Luong, the release of *Wolbachia* mosquitoes along with human blood feeding of mosquitoes, was approved by the IRBs of the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology (Approval reference number: IRB-VN01057-19/2017 12/10/2017) and Vietnam Ministry of Health (Approval reference number: 151/CN-BDGDD 28/12/2017).

In Vinh Luong, the head (or representative) of 370 randomly selected households was asked to provide written consent to undertake *Wolbachia* mosquito releases in their community.

Results and discussion

Tri Nguyen

Releases of wMel *Wolbachia* Ae. aegypti mosquitoes in Tri Nguyen were undertaken weekly for 27 weeks, with an average of 32.4 mosquitoes released per house per week (range 12.8 to 93.7 per house per week) (Figure 4). In release weeks 8–9 (mid-July 2014) the *Wolbachia* prevalence in mosquitoes in BG traps in the north (34.1–38.3%) and central areas (28.7–41.9%) were low (Figure 6), and release numbers were increased from week 11 (average 52.7 per house per week) for 5–6 weeks in the central and south areas, and for the remainder of the releases in the north area (Figure 4). By the end of 27 weeks of releases (14 November 2014) the *Wolbachia* infection prevalence in mosquitoes ranged from 77.3% to 86.6% across the three areas (Figure 6, Figure 8). Over the next six months the *Wolbachia* infection prevalence in mosquitoes remained high and increased to 91.7–96.6% by mid-May 2015.

From June to December 2015, the *Wolbachia* infection prevalence in mosquitoes decreased to 22.5%, 26.3% and 55.4% in the north, central and south areas, respectively (Figure 6, Figure 8). This period corresponded with the hot dry-season months from June to September, with average weekly temperatures in Nha Trang of 28.2–30.5°C, and average weekly maximum temperatures of 31.3–33.8°C. Monsoon rains occurred from October to December in Central Vietnam, and by December 2015 the weekly temperatures in Nha Trang had decreased to 26.3°C, and median weekly temperatures inside houses had decreased to 28°C. From January to May 2016, *Wolbachia* infection prevalence in mosquitoes in the north, central and south areas increased to 46.9, 40.9 and 94.1%, respectively (Figure 6). During the following hot dry-season months from June to September 2016, *Wolbachia* infection prevalence decreased, and by the end of the monsoon rains in December...
Figure 4. Mean numbers of *Wolbachia* mosquitoes released per house per week (black bars), and total numbers of *Wolbachia* mosquitoes released (red circles) in north, center and south areas in Tri Nguyen.
2016 Wolbachia infection prevalence was very low in the north (2.9%) and central areas (9.2%), yet remained high in the south area (75.0%) (Figure 6, Figure 8).

From January 2017, Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes in the north was less than 10.1%, with no Wolbachia infection detected in mosquitoes except for mosquitoes from single trap collected in April 2021 (Figure 6, Figure 9). In the central area, Wolbachia infection prevalence ranged between 6.6–58.3% between January 2017 to December 2018, but remained less than 5.1% from January 2019 onwards. In the south area, Wolbachia infection prevalence remained high with an average of 81.6% (range 46.8–97.7%). Infection levels below 20% are below the estimated unstable equilibrium point for wMel in Cairns Australia (20–30%; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Turelli & Barton, 2017); below this frequency threshold, Wolbachia infection frequency is expected to decline. The seasonal oscillations in Wolbachia infection frequencies in the south area (50–100%) did not approach the unstable equilibrium point, and this allowed for seasonal increases in Wolbachia infection frequencies in mosquitoes. In contrast, in the north and central areas, where the Wolbachia infection prevalence first declined to less than 20% in September 2016, the prevalence of Wolbachia generally declined thereafter (Figure 6, Figure 8, Figure 9).

Overall, temperatures inside houses in Tri Nguyen were generally higher than the Nha Trang City meteorological data. Median weekly temperatures inside houses in Tri Nguyen were 1.7 +/- 0.6°C (s.d.) higher than the mean weekly temperatures in Nha Trang City, with median temperatures inside houses (31.0–32.5°C) during the hottest months approaching the mean weekly maximum temperatures in Nha Trang City.

To determine whether mosquitoes exposed to field conditions in Tri Nguyen had reduced maternal transmission of Wolbachia from infected females to their offspring, collections of late instars and pupae were made from different types of field containers and emergent adult females were assessed for efficiency of Wolbachia maternal transmission (Table 2). In May 2015 when median weekly temperatures in houses were at their highest (30.5–32.5°C), imperfect Wolbachia maternal transmission was found across all three areas, with 53.6–69.0% of progeny from Wolbachia-infected females found to be positive for Wolbachia. There was no clear association between the type of container that females were collected from and maternal transmission. Repeat collections from drums in the north and south areas in May 2016, when median weekly temperatures were similarly high (31.5–32.5°C), found imperfect maternal transmission of Wolbachia from infected females, with only 54.7% of progeny from Wolbachia infected females found to be positive for Wolbachia.

To investigate possible factors influencing the heterogeneity in Wolbachia infection prevalence in Tri Nguyen, container surveys were undertaken in November 2015 (Table 3). Houses in the north area had the highest mean numbers of containers per house (13.0), compared with houses in the central (11.5) and south areas (8.2). The prevalence of Ae. aegypti immatures in containers was high in all areas, ranging from 17.2–23.1% of surveyed containers. Wolbachia infection prevalence in immatures from different container types ranged from 15.5–41.4% in the north, 22.5–35.5% in the center, and 56.7–92.9% in the south. Overall, the Wolbachia infection prevalence in immature stages collected from containers in the different areas matched those found in adult Ae. aegypti collected in BGS traps during November 2015 (north 26.1–38.8%; center 23.8–38.8%, south 58.7–76.9%). The Wolbachia infection prevalence in Ae. aegypti immatures, pooled at the house level, shows significant spatial heterogeneity in Wolbachia infection prevalence (Figure 11). Container surveys in June 2016 found slightly elevated pH (7.93–7.96), salinity (1.4–2.0 ppt) and conductivity (2525–3512) levels in water in containers in the central and the south areas, compared to the north (Table 4).

Vinh Luong
Releases of wMel Wolbachia Ae. aegypti mosquitoes in Vinh Luong were undertaken weekly for 17 weeks, with an average of 32,733 mosquitoes released per week (range 18,605 to 38,772) (Figure 5). Compared with the Tri Nguyen releases where weekly releases were undertaken outside almost all houses (97.2%), the Vinh Luong releases were undertaken using evenly spaced 50 m x 50 m grids, with a single release inside each grid. This corresponded to a lower per house release density (one release point per nine houses in Vinh Luong, one release point per 1.03 houses in Tri Nguyen) and a lower weekly per house release rate (11.5 mosquitoes per house per week in Vinh Luong, 32.4 mosquitoes per house per week in Tri Nguyen). By the end of 17 weeks of releases (July 2018) the Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes was 78.9% (Figure 7, Figure 10). Over the next four months the Wolbachia infection prevalence decreased to a low of 52.0% by October 2018, which coincided with high median weekly temperatures inside houses (29.1–32.1°C). The was followed by an increase in Wolbachia infection prevalence to 93.0% by March 2019, which coincided with lower seasonal temperatures (median weekly 25.6–29.1°C). Between June and October 2019, the Wolbachia infection prevalence decreased to 41.4%, which coincided with high median weekly temperatures inside houses (29.6–32.5°C). Field monitoring was interrupted from January to November 2020 due to social distancing requirements in response to COVID-19. Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes between November 2020 and March 2021 was high, ranging from 88.1–97.2%. (Figure 7, Figure 10). Similar to Tri Nguyen, temperatures measured inside houses in Vinh Luong were warmer than the Nha Trang City meteorological data, with median temperatures inside houses 1.6 +/- 0.4 (s.d.) °C higher than the mean temperatures in Nha Trang City.

Effects of temperature on Wolbachia infection prevalence
The fluctuations in wMel Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes in these two communities in Nha Trang in central Vietnam have consistent seasonal patterns, with reduced
Wolbachia infection prevalence in mosquitoes during the hot dry seasons, followed by increased prevalence during the cooler seasons. This is consistent with recent laboratory and semi-field experiments investigating the effects of elevated temperatures on mosquito fitness and the stability of Wolbachia infection in *Ae. aegypti*. In the laboratory, immature stages (eggs and larvae) that were exposed to diurnal cycling temperatures that ranged from 30–40°C had lower *w*Mel Wolbachia densities in adult mosquitoes sampled at 0–2 days of age, compared with control mosquitoes reared at 20–30°C, and with partial recovery of Wolbachia levels in mosquitoes by 4–7 days of age (Ulrich et al., 2016). In a second laboratory study, exposure of *Ae. aegypti* larvae infected with different types of Wolbachia (*w*Mel, *w*AlbB and *w*MelPop-CLA) to diurnal cyclical temperatures of 26–37°C resulted in reduced egg hatch in *w*Mel infected eggs, and reduced expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility and Wolbachia density in adult mosquitoes infected with the *w*Mel and *w*MelPop-CLA strains, but not the *w*AlbB strain (Ross et al., 2017). When immatures and adult females were reared and maintained at diurnal cyclical temperatures of 26–37°C, the *w*Mel and *w*MelPop-CLA infections were not transmitted to the next generation, which indicated a breakdown in maternal transmission fidelity. In contrast, the *w*AlbB Wolbachia infected line exhibited only partial breakdown in maternal transmission efficiency (88.5–91.7%) (Ross et al., 2017). Exposure of Wolbachia infected eggs to diurnal cycling temperatures of 30–40°C for seven days resulted in lower *w*Mel and *w*MelPop densities in adult females and males, compared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Number of containers sampled</th>
<th>Number of containers maternal transmission assessed</th>
<th>Number progeny tested</th>
<th>Number progeny Wolbachia +ve</th>
<th>Maternal transmission Percent (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>May 2015 Collection Period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Vase</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>100.0 (100.0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>50.2 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>70.8 (20.0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>86.7 (60.0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub total</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>Vase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46.2 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>77.3 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>71.6 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>74.1 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub total</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Vase</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.0 (0-85.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77.5 (60.0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75.0 (40.0-85.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub total</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>May 2016 Collection Period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Drum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.7 (0-50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Drum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>60.5 (0-100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Percent maternal transmission per female

**Table 2. Wolbachia maternal transmission rates in female *Aedes aegypti* sourced as IV instars/pupae from different locations and container types in Tri Nguyen, May 2015 and May 2016.**
Table 3. Water container surveys in Tri Nguyen - prevalence of *Ae. aegypti* immatures and *Wolbachia* infection in III/IV instars and pupae collected from field containers in northern, central and southern areas in November 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th># Houses surveyed</th>
<th>Container type</th>
<th>Mean cont. per house</th>
<th>Number cont. surveyed</th>
<th>Number cont. +ve <em>Ae. aegypti</em></th>
<th>% cont. +ve <em>Ae. aegypti</em></th>
<th>Number cont. screened for <em>Wolbachia</em></th>
<th>Number immatures screened for <em>Wolbachia</em></th>
<th>Number immatures +ve <em>Wolbachia</em></th>
<th>% <em>Wolbachia</em> infection in immatures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>809</strong></td>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>135</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,090</strong></td>
<td><strong>295</strong></td>
<td><strong>27.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>540</strong></td>
<td><strong>122</strong></td>
<td><strong>22.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>122</strong></td>
<td><strong>1044</strong></td>
<td><strong>281</strong></td>
<td><strong>26.9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>329</strong></td>
<td><strong>72</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>71</strong></td>
<td><strong>586</strong></td>
<td><strong>416</strong></td>
<td><strong>71.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with adults reared from eggs maintained at a constant 26°C (Ross et al., 2019). Further laboratory experiments indicated that exposure of larval stages to diurnal fluctuating temperatures between 26–37°C resulted in reduced Wolbachia density and starvation tolerance in the following generation, but only in female mosquitoes (Foo et al., 2019).

There is only limited previous data on the potential negative effects of temperature on Wolbachia establishment and stability under field conditions. In a semi-field study in northern Australia, wMel Wolbachia-infected larvae were reared in containers placed in shaded and 50% shaded locations (Ross et al., 2019). The resulting adult males from the containers placed in 50% shaded locations, which reached temperatures of up to 39°C, were found to have partially lost their ability to induce cytoplasmic compatibility, and females had a greatly reduced egg hatch when crossed to infected males. The only relevant field experiment involving a field population of Wolbachia-infected Ae. aegypti was undertaken in Cairns, Australia, during a heatwave that occurred in November 2018, when temperatures reached 43.6°C (Ross et al., 2020). Eggs and immature stages (larvae and pupae) and adult mosquitoes were collected from ovitraps, field containers, sentinel containers that were placed in shaded and semi-shaded locations, and BGS sentinel traps throughout the central area of Cairns. In the month following the heatwave, Wolbachia infection prevalence was reduced to 83% in larvae sampled directly from field habitats and 88% in eggs collected from ovitraps, but recovered to be near 100% four months later (Ross et al., 2020). In this location, where Wolbachia had been established in local mosquito populations for more than five years (Ryan et al., 2020), high temperatures were found to have only temporary effects on Wolbachia frequencies.

**Table 4.** Water container surveys in Tri Nguyen - prevalence of Ae. aegypti immatures and abiotic water parameters in water storage containers in northern, central and southern areas in June 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th># Houses surveyed</th>
<th>Container type</th>
<th>Mean cont. per house</th>
<th>Number cont. surveyed</th>
<th>Number cont. +ve Ae. aegypti</th>
<th>% cont. +ve Ae. aegypti</th>
<th>Water parameters</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Conductivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>30</td>
<td><strong>19.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.46</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>348</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2783</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2418</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>117</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.93</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>2515</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jars</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vases</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2887</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>88</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>3512</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effects of elevated temperatures on the *Wolbachia*-induced viral blocking have been purportedly based on reduced *Wolbachia* densities in adult mosquitoes exposed to elevated rearing temperatures (Ross *et al.*, 2017; Ross *et al.*, 2019; Ross *et al.*, 2020; Ulrich *et al.*, 2016); however, there is only limited data showing any direct effects of elevated temperatures on viral blocking. Laboratory experiments indicated that there was no significant effect on dengue 3 virus vector competence of *wMel Wolbachia* Ae. *aegypti* mosquitoes reared at a constant 25°C, then exposed and maintained under two diurnal temperature settings with mean of 25°C and 28°C and a fluctuating range of 8°C (+/- 4°C) (Ye *et al.*, 2016). The two diurnal temperature regimes were found to significantly alter *Wolbachia* density in mosquitoes, with lower *Wolbachia* densities found in mosquitoes reared at the higher temperature regime; however, there was no association with dengue infection or the extrinsic incubation period of the pathogen in the mosquito (Ye *et al.*, 2016). In contrast, exposure of *Ae. aegypti* immatures to higher cyclical temperatures of 28–36°C in the laboratory resulted in reduced *Wolbachia* density and levels of dengue 2 virus blocking in *wMel* infected *Ae. aegypti*, compared with mosquitoes reared at a constant temperature of 27°C (Mancini *et al.*, 2020). *wMel* infected females reared at the higher temperature regime had significantly higher virus infection rates in heads and thoraces (33%) compared to *wMel* females reared under constant temperature conditions (4.2%). No significant differences were found in dengue infection rates in *wAlbB* infected mosquitoes exposed to high-temperature and constant 27°C rearing conditions (Mancini *et al.*, 2020).

The reduced fidelity in maternal transmission observed in female mosquitoes collected from Tri Nguyen Island in both May 2015 (64.1%) and May 2016 (54.7%) was consistent with the above laboratory studies that show incomplete maternal transmission when immature stages (eggs, larvae, pupae)
Figure 6. Weather station data for Nha Trang (mean daily maximum temperature per week - dashed red line, mean daily temperature per week - solid black line, mean daily minimum temperature per week - dashed blue line), household temperature data (median daily temperature per week - gray line, interquartile range - box plots), and Wolbachia infection prevalence in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in Tri Nguyen (Wolbachia prevalence in Ae. aegypti mosquitoes, total positives / total number tested - blue line, median Wolbachia prevalence in mosquitoes per BG trap collection - red line, interquartile range - box plots). Green shading represents Wolbachia mosquito release period (27 weeks).
Figure 7. Weather station data for Nha Trang (mean daily maximum temperature per week - dashed red line, mean daily temperature per week - solid black line, mean daily minimum temperature per week - dashed blue line), household temperature data (median daily temperature per week - gray line, interquartile range - box plots), and Wolbachia infection prevalence in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in Vinh Luong (Wolbachia prevalence in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes, total positives / total number tested - blue line, median Wolbachia prevalence in mosquitoes per BG trap collection - red line, interquartile range - box plots). Green shading represents *Wolbachia* mosquito release period (17 weeks).

are exposed to high, fluctuating diurnal temperatures ranging from 26–37°C. The high median temperatures measured inside houses in Tri Nguyen village (30.5–32.5°C) were probably similar to the temperatures found in the container habitats, and are therefore similar to the mean temperature of 31°C used in the fluctuating diurnal laboratory studies. Despite a complete breakdown in *Wolbachia* maternal transmission in *Ae. aegypti* in the laboratory when all life stages were held at 26–37°C, maternal transmission rates in Tri Nguyen village remained above 50%. This may reflect the heterogeneity in microclimates in individual containers and the different temperatures that immatures are exposed to. We also note the substantially warmer temperatures inside houses in both Tri Nguyen and Vinh Luong, compared to average temperatures reported from the Nha Trang weather station. These differences in temperatures between those measured inside houses and the weather station data, combined with differences in microclimate data experienced by immatures in different container types, means that caution should be used in drawing conclusions between weather station data and mosquito fitness and *Wolbachia* infection stability.

Other factors affecting *Wolbachia* infection prevalence

The small-scale spatial heterogeneity in *Wolbachia* infection prevalence throughout Tri Nguyen, over distances of 200–300 m, suggests that other factors, besides ambient temperature, are important to *Wolbachia* persistence in this setting. The prevalence of containers was similar across the Tri Nguyen site, and, despite some variation in abiotic water parameters, we found no association between these container characteristics and...
Figure 8. *Wolbachia* frequencies in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes collected in BG Sentinel traps in north, center and south areas in Tri Nguyen (6 November 2014 to 11 June 2018).
Figure 9. Wolbachia frequencies in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes collected in BG Sentinel traps in north, center and south areas in Tri Nguyen (4 December 2018 to 17 April 2021).
Figure 10. *Wolbachia* frequencies in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes collected in BG Sentinel traps in Vinh Luong (July 2018 to 27 March 2021).
Figure 11. *Wolbachia* frequency in *Ae. aegypti* immatures collected from water holding containers in north (27.1%), center (26.9%) and south (71.0%) areas in Tri Nguyen in November 2015. *Wolbachia* frequency calculated based on pooled samples from all surveyed containers at each house (mean 11, range 1–27 surveyed containers per house).
Wolbachia infection prevalence. The persistence of Wolbachia in mosquito populations in the south area of Tri Nguyen village, for over seven years, may present an opportunity for direct selection of Wolbachia variants with higher thermal tolerance. Although laboratory experiments found limited capacity for wMel infected Ae. aegypti to adapt to high temperatures (Ross & Hoffmann, 2018), long term monitoring of the Tri Nguyen village site will determine whether Wolbachia can adapt to exposure to high temperatures and other potential environmental factors. The long-term persistence of Wolbachia in Vinh Luong, despite some seasonal fluctuations in Wolbachia infection prevalence, indicates that different underlying factors are associated with Wolbachia maintenance.

Given the successful establishment and persistence of wMel Wolbachia in mosquito populations across a range of settings (Garcia et al., 2019; Gesto et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Hoffmann et al., 2014; Indriani et al., 2020; O’Neill et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2017; Tantowijoyo et al., 2020; Utarini et al., 2021), some of which experience short term fluctuations in temperatures analogous to those experienced in Tri Nguyen village and Vinh Luong, it is clear that ambient measured temperatures alone may be insufficient to predict the success or failure of wMel deployments. Elements of the built environment, as yet undetermined, may have important effects on Wolbachia establishment and persistence. In some complex urban environments, it may prove slow and operationally challenging to achieve a homogeneous high level of Wolbachia establishment. But it has been demonstrated in Niterói, Brazil, that measurable reductions in dengue, chikungunya and Zika disease accrue even at a moderate prevalence of wMel in local Ae. aegypti populations (40% to >80%). Aggregate across the whole intervention area, the wMel deployments were associated with a 69% reduction in dengue incidence, a 56% reduction in chikungunya incidence and a 37% reduction in Zika incidence (Pinto et al., 2021).

The potential effects of elevated seasonal temperatures on Wolbachia establishment may become more important in areas with arid and temperate climates that experience wider yearly temperature ranges and higher summer temperatures compared to tropical climates. These arid and temperate climate areas are typically found at latitudes between 20–35°north and south. In comparison, areas with tropical climates (particularly tropical rainforest and tropical monsoon climates) are characterized by monthly temperatures above 18°C year-round (typically between 21–30°C), and the annual temperature range is normally very small. The global population at risk of dengue in 2015 was estimated to be 3.83 billion (roughly 53% of the global population) (Messina et al., 2019). Based on 2010 population estimates for various climate zones, approximately 2.2 billion people (58% of at the risk dengue population) reside in areas with tropical climates (Center for International Earth Science Information Network, 2012). For most of these areas the environmental conditions are likely to be less extreme than those experienced in Tri Nguyen and Vinh Luong, and therefore wMel releases are likely to result in stable establishment. Epidemiological modelling predicted that the establishment of wMel globally, even with an intermediate efficacy (50% transmission reduction), would reduce global dengue incidence by up to 90% (Cattarino et al., 2020). If this was targeted in tropical areas where temperatures are amenable for wMel, this would represent a potential overall reduction in global dengue burden of over 50%. For areas outside of the tropics, where the range of Ae. aegypti extends into areas that experience more extreme summer temperatures, Wolbachia strains that are more heat resistant such as wAlbB may be preferable (Ross et al., 2017; Ross et al., 2019). Currently, however, there is limited data on the field performance of the wAlbB strain. Small-scale releases of wAlbB mosquitoes in Malaysia resulted in heterogenous establishment, purported to be due to immigration of uninfected mosquitoes from surrounding areas (Nazni et al., 2019). However, wAlbB has been associated with negative fitness effects in Ae. aegypti, resulting in reduced fertility in adult females emerging from quiescent eggs exposed to moderate temperatures (20–30°C) (Lau et al., 2021). However, as shown in Tri Nguyen and Vinh Luong with wMel, subtle fitness effects under different environmental conditions may lead to unknown consequences on Wolbachia spread and maintenance, and therefore it is important to better understand the field dynamics Wolbachia strains across different settings and across seasons.
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Hien et al. report different dynamics of Wolbachia strain wMel after being released into two field sites in Vietnam, with loss of wMel in two areas of one site and maintenance of high infection in another site. In both sites, a decrease of Wolbachia infection frequency was associated with elevated temperature conditions. However, this high temperature alone can not explain the loss of infection, indicating presence of other factors influencing the local establishment of Wolbachia infection. These discoveries can significantly advance our knowledge of Wolbachia dynamic in field settings and across seasons, support the notion that various Wolbachia strain may be required for different locations when Wolbachia is deployed globally for mosquito-borne disease control. Below are some minor points:
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